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#### Abstract

We explore Jaeger's state model for the HOMFLYPT polynomial. We reformulate this model in the language of Gauss diagrams and use it to obtain Gauss diagram formulas for a two-parameter family of Vassiliev invariants coming from the HOMFLYPT polynomial. These formulas are new already for invariants of degree 3 .


## Introduction

The HOMFLYPT polynomial $P(L)$ is an invariant of oriented link $L$. It is defined as the Laurent polynomial in two variables $a$ and $z$ with integer coefficients satisfying the following skein relation and the initial condition:


If $L$ is an unlink with $m$ components then $P(L)=\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{m-1}$. The proof of the existence of such an invariant is long and cumbersome. It was established simultaneously and independently by five groups of authors [HOM, PT].

This paper is devoted to Gauss diagram formulas for Vassiliev invariants coming from the HOMFLYPT polynomial. It is known [GPV] that any Vassiliev knot invariant may be presented by a Gauss diagram formula. This type of formulas is the simplest for computation purposes; however, the algorithm for producing them is complicated and until recently only few lower degree cases were described explicitly. The first description of such formulas for an infinite family of Vassiliev invariants was given in [CKR], where the coefficients of the Conway polynomial were considered. This paper generalizes the result of [CKR] to the HOMFLYPT polynomial.

We use a non-standard change of variables (used formely in [G2]), leaving $z$ alone and plugging in $a=e^{h}$ to obtain a power series $\sum_{k, l} p_{k, l} h^{k} z^{l}$. The coefficients $p_{k, l}$ are Vassiliev invariants of degree $\leqslant k+l$, see [G2]. We give the Gauss diagram formulas for $p_{k, l}$ for arbitrary $k, l$. These formulas are new already for invariants of degree 3.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 1 we start from the scheme of [ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{LM}, \mathrm{PT}]$, extracting from it an explicit state model for the HOMFLYPT following [J] in Section 2. We then briefly review the notions of Gauss diagrams in Section 3 and reformulate the state model in these terms in Section

[^0]4. The expansion of $P(L)$ into power series in $h$ and $z$ is considered in Section 5. In the same section we remind the definition of the Gauss diagram formulas for Vassiliev invariants. Finally, we describe the Gauss diagram formulas for $p_{k, l}$ in Section 6. In the last Section 7 we analyze low degree cases in details.

Note that using instead of (1) the skein relation for the two-variable Kauffman polynomial, one gets a similar state model. We plan to consider the resulting Gauss diagram formulas in a forthcoming paper.

We are grateful to O. Viro, L. Traldi, and to the anonymous referee for numerous corrections to the first version of the paper and useful remarks. This work has been done when both authors were visiting the Max-Plank-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, which we would like to thank for excellent work conditions and hospitality. The second author was supported by a grant 3-3577 of the Israel Ministry of Science and ISF grant 1261/05.

## 1. HOMFLYPT and descending diagrams

The skein relation (1) allows one to calculate the HOMFLYPT polynomial of a link. Following $[\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{LM}, \mathrm{PT}]$, this can be done by ordering a link diagram and then transforming it into a descending diagram. We call a diagram $D$ ordered, if its components $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{m}$ are ordered and on every component a (generic) base point is chosen. An ordered diagram is descending, if $D_{i}$ is above $D_{j}$ for all $i<j$ and if for every $i$ as we go along $D_{i}$ starting from its base point along the orientation we pass each self-crossing first on the overpass and then on the underpass.

An elementary step of the algorithm computing $P(L)$ consists of the following procedure. Suppose that $D$ is an ordered diagram and that the subdiagram $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{i-1}$ is already descending. We go along $D_{i}$ (starting from the base point) looking for the first crossing which fails to be descending. At such a crossing $x$ we change it using the skein relation. Namely, depending on the $\operatorname{sign} \varepsilon$ (the local writhe) of the crossing, we express $P(D)$ as


Denote the corresponding diagrams $D^{\varepsilon}, D^{-\varepsilon}, D^{0}$.
The ordering of $D=D^{\varepsilon}$ induces an ordering of $D^{-\varepsilon}$ (in an obvious way); the ordering of $D^{0}$ requires some explanation. If $x$ was a crossing of $D_{i}$ with $D_{j}, j>i$, then these two components merge into a single component $D_{i}^{0}$ of $D^{0}$, with a base point being the base point of $D_{i}$. If $x$ was a self-crossing of $D_{i}$, then $D_{i}$ splits into two components: $D_{i}^{0}$, which contains the base point of $D_{i}$, and $D_{i+1}^{0}$, where we choose the base point in a neighborhood of $x$. In both cases the order of remaining components shifts accordingly.

The diagrams $D^{-\varepsilon}, D^{0}$ are "more" descending than $D^{\varepsilon}$. At the next step we apply the same procedure to each of them.

Example 1.1. For the trefoil $3_{1}$ the algorithm consists of two steps, illustrated in the figure below. The diagram $D^{+}$appearing in the first step is already descending; the diagram $D^{0}$ is not, so the second step is needed to transform it.

Step 1:



Step 2:


Hence $P\left(3_{1}\right)=a^{2} \cdot 1-a z\left(a^{2} \cdot \frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}-a z \cdot 1\right)=\left(2 a^{2}-a^{4}\right)+a^{2} z^{2}$.

## 2. State model reformulation

The state model of [J] for the HOFMLYPT polynomial is a convenient reformulation of the algorithm of Section 1.

A state $S$ on a link diagram $D$ is a subset of its crossings. The HOMFLYPT polynomial is going to be a sum over the states. Let $D(S)$ be the link diagram obtained by smoothing every crossing in $S$ according to orientation and $c(S)$ be the number of its components. We will not use the topology of $D(S)$, however its combinatorics will determine the contribution of the state $S$ to the state sum. The contribution will be a product of a global weight of the state as a whole, $\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}$ and local weights of crossings of the diagram.

The ordering of $D$ induces an ordering of $D(S)$ (in the way explained in Section 1 above) and thus determines a tracing of the link $D(S)$. The local weight $\langle x| D|S\rangle$ of a crossing $x$ of $D$ depends on the first passage of a neighborhood of $x$ in the tracing and on the sign $\varepsilon$ of $x$. Namely, if $x$ is in $S$ and we approach $x$ first time on an overpass of $D$ then $\langle x| D|S\rangle=0$ (since such a situation does not occur in the above algorithm). If we approach $x$ on an underpass of $D$ then $\langle x| D|S\rangle=\varepsilon a^{-\varepsilon} z$ (i.e., the coefficient of $D^{0}$ in (2)). In the case if $x$ does not belong to $S$ and we approach $x$ first time on an overpass then $\langle x| D|S\rangle=1$ (since in the above algorithm we do not apply the skein relation to $x$ ). If we approach $x$ on an underpass then $\langle x| D|S\rangle=a^{-2 \varepsilon}$ (i.e., the coefficient of $D^{-\varepsilon}$ in (2)). These assignments can be summarized in the following figure.

| First passage: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 0 | $a^{-1} z$ | 1 | $a^{-2}$ |
|  | $-a z$ | 0 | $a^{2}$ | 1 |

Denote by $\langle D \mid S\rangle:=\prod_{x}\langle x| D|S\rangle$ the product of local weights of all crossings. For a link $L$ with a diagram $D$ we have [J, Proposition 2]:

$$
P(L)=\sum_{S}\langle D \mid S\rangle \cdot\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}
$$

Example 2.1. Consider a based trefoil diagram $D$ and a state $S$ consisting of one crossing $\left\{x_{1}\right\}$.


The tracing of $D(S)$ first approaches the crossing $x_{1}$ on the strand which was an underpass in $D$. So its weight will be $\left\langle x_{1}\right| D|S\rangle=-a z$. Similarly the weights of the other two crossings are $\left\langle x_{2}\right| D|S\rangle=a^{2}$ and $\left\langle x_{3}\right| D|S\rangle=1$. So the total contribution from this state will be equal to $-a^{3} z\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)=a^{2}-a^{4}$. The next table shows the contributions from all eight states. Non-zero weights come from states corresponding to descending diagrams appearing in the end of the algorithm, see Example 1.1.

| $\emptyset$ | $\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{3}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ | $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a^{2}$ | $a^{2}-a^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | $a^{2} z^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

So we recover the result of Example 1.1: $P\left(3_{1}\right)=\left(2 a^{2}-a^{4}\right)+z^{2} a^{2}$.

Remark 2.2. Smoothing a crossing from a state $S$ changes the number of components by one. Hence the cardinality $|S|$ and the difference $m-c(S)$ (where $m$ is the number of components of $D$ ) are congruent modulo 2. Therefore the HOMFLYPT polynomial $P(L)$ is even in each of the variables $a$ and $z$ if $m$ is odd, and it is an odd polynomial if $m$ is even.
Remark 2.3. The negative powers of $z$ come from the factors $\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}$. A smoothing of a crossing $x \in S$ may increase $c(S)$ by one, however this increment will be compensated by a local weight $\langle x| D|S\rangle$. As a consequence we have that the lowest power of $z$ in the HOMFLYPT polynomial of $L$ is at least $-m+1$. In particular the HOMFLYPT polynomial $P(K)$ of a knot $K$ is a genuine polynomial in $z$, i.e., does not contain terms with negative powers of $z$.

## 3. Gauss diagrams

Definition 3.1. Gauss diagrams provide an alternative and more combinatorial way to present links. For a link diagram $D$ consider a collection of (counterclockwise) oriented circles parameterizing it. Two preimages of a crossing of $D$ we unite in a pair and connect them by an arrow pointing from the overpassing preimage to the underpassing one. To each arrow we assign a sign $\pm 1$ of the corresponding crossing. The result is called the Gauss diagram $G_{D}$ of the link diagram D. A link can be uniquely reconstructed from the corresponding Gauss diagram [GPV].

For example, a Gauss diagram of the trefoil looks as follows.


A knot and its Gauss diagram
Not every diagram with arrows is realizable as a Gauss diagram of a classical link. For example, $\bigotimes$ is not realizable regardless of signs of its arrows. An
abstract Gauss diagram, or an arrow diagram is a generalization of a notion of Gauss diagram, in which we forget about realizability. In other words, an arrow diagram consists of a number of oriented circles with several arrows connecting pairs of distinct points on them. The arrows are equipped with signs $\pm 1$. We consider these diagrams up to orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the circles.

We are going to work with ordered Gauss diagrams, i.e. Gauss diagrams with ordered circles and a base point $\boldsymbol{*}_{1}, \boldsymbol{*}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{*}_{m}$ on each circle corresponding to an ordering of $D$. Similarly, an ordered arrow diagram is an arrow diagram equipped with an ordering of the circles and a base point (different from the end points of the arrows) on each of them.

Two Gauss diagrams represent isotopic links if and only if they are related by a finite number of Reidemeister moves (see, for example, [GPV, Ö, CDBooK]).


Note that the segments involved in $\Omega_{2}$ or $\Omega_{3}$ may lie on the different components of the link. So the order in which they are traced along the link may be arbitrary.

An ordered link is an equivalence class of ordered Gauss diagrams modulo Reidemeister moves which do not involve base points. For $m=1$ this notion is equivalent to the notion of long knots defined as embeddings of $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which coincide with a standard embedding (say, an $x$-axis) outside a compact. It is well known that for classical knots the theories of long and closed knots coincide.

## 4. State models on Gauss diagrams

All notions and constructions of Section 2 have a straightforward translation to the language of Gauss diagrams.

A state $S$ on an abstract Gauss diagram $G$ is a subset of its arrows. Let $G(S)$ be the abstract Gauss diagram obtained by doubling every arrow in $S$ as in the figure

$$
(\underset{\sim}{\downarrow}
$$

and let $c(S)$ be the number of its circles. The ordering of $G$ induces an ordering of $G(S)$. The local weight $\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle$ of an arrow $\alpha$ of $G$ in general depends on whether $\alpha$ belongs to $S$, on the first passage in a neighborhood of $\alpha$ in the tracing of $G(S)$, and on the sign $\varepsilon$ of $\alpha$. Given a table of such local weights, we denote by $\langle G \mid S\rangle:=\prod_{\alpha}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle$ the product of local weights of all arrows and define a polynomial $P(G)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(G):=\sum_{S}\langle G \mid S\rangle \cdot\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The table of local weights for the HOMFLYPT state model (readily taken from Section 2) is shown below.


Example 4.1. For the Gauss diagram of the trefoil the states with non-zero weights are the following.


Hence, $P(G)=\left(2 a^{2}-a^{4}\right)+z^{2} a^{2}$.
The HOMFLYPT polynomial defined by this state model may be called the descending HOMFLYPT polynomial. An ascending HOMFLYPT polynomial may be defined in a similar way, interchanging the values of the first two columns and the last two columns in the table (4) of local weights. For classical links these two polynomials coincide.

## 5. Vassiliev invariants coming from the HOMFLYPT polynomial

5.1. HOMFLYPT power series. A standard way [BN, BL] to relate Vassiliev invariants to the HOMFLYPT polynomial is to make a substitution $a=e^{N h}$, $z=e^{h}-e^{-h}$ and then take the Taylor expansion of $P(L)$ in the variable $h$. The coefficient at $h^{n}$ turns out to be a Vassiliev invariant of order $\leqslant n$ which depends on a parameter $N$.

In this paper we are working in a different way, following [G2]. Namely, we substitute $a=e^{h}$ and take the Taylor expansion in $h$. The result will be a Laurent polynomial in $z$ and a power series in $h$. Let $p_{k, l}(L)$ be its coefficient at $h^{k} z^{l}$. It is not difficult to see that for any link $L$ the total degree $k+l$ is not negative. (It also follows from the Jaeger model in Section 2.)
Lemma ([G2]). $p_{k, l}(L)$ is a Vassiliev invariant of order $\leqslant k+l$.
Proof. Indeed, plugging $a=e^{h}$ into the skein relation we get


Since all terms of the HOMFLYPT polynomial have non-negative total degree in $z$ and $h$, the terms of the right hand side has degree at least 1 . Therefore, if we change $n+1$ crossings in different places then the alternating sum of the $2^{n}$ polynomials will have the degree of its monomials $\geqslant n+1$. Hence the coefficient at any degree $n$ term will be zero.

Remark 5.1. After substitution $a=e^{h}$ and the Taylor expansion in $h$ the factor $\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}$ becomes $\frac{2 h+\ldots}{z}$. In other words its total degree in $h$ and $z$ is not negative. Therefore, the total degree $k+l$ of the monomial $h^{k} z^{l}$ of $P(L)$ is not negative, however the exponent $l$ of $z$ may be as negative as $-k+1$.

Our next goal is to describe the Gauss diagram formulas for $p_{k, l}(L)$. Note that the case $k=0$ corresponds to the substitution $a=1$ into the HOMFLYPT polynomial, i.e. to the Conway polynomial. Thus $p_{0, l}(L)$ are coefficients of the Conway polynomial for which the Gauss diagram formulas were found in [CKR]. Thus our work may be considered as a generalization of [CKR].
5.2. Gauss diagram formulas for Vassiliev invariants. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$ module generated by ordered arrow diagrams with $m$ circles. Define a map $I$ : $\mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ by $I(G):=\sum_{A \subseteq G} A$ for any (abstract, ordered) Gauss diagram $G$, and extend it to $\mathscr{A}$ by linearity. Here $A \subseteq G$ means the arrow subdiagram $A$ containing the same circles as the whole diagram $G$ but only a subset of arrows of $G$ with their signs. A natural scalar product on $\mathscr{A}$ is given by $(A, B):=0$ if $A$ is not equal to $B$, and $(A, B):=1$ if $A=B$ for a pair of arrow diagrams $A$ and $B$. Let us define a pairing $\langle A, G\rangle:=(A, I(G))$.

Definition 5.2. Let $A$ be a fixed element of $\mathscr{A}$. By a Gauss diagram formula we mean a function $\mathcal{I}_{A}$ on abstract Gauss diagrams defined by $\mathcal{I}_{A}: G \mapsto\langle A, G\rangle$.

If $A$ is chosen at random then $\mathcal{I}_{A}(G)$ usually changes under Reidemeister moves and thus does not define any link invariant. However, for some special choice of $A$ it might be a link invariant. According to [GPV] any Vassiliev invariant of long knots can be expressed by a Gauss diagram formula. In the following sections we describe an algorithm for finding such formulas for invariants $p_{k, l}(L)$ coming from the HOMFLYPT polynomial.

For shortness of notation, further we will use unsigned arrow diagrams, understanding by that a linear combination of arrow diagrams with all possible choices of signs and appearing with a coefficients $\pm 1$ depending on whether even or odd number of negative signs were chosen.

Example 5.3. If $m=2$ and

then $\mathcal{I}_{A}(G)$ is equal to the linking number of components.
If $m=1$ and

then $\mathcal{I}_{A}(G)$ is equal to the second coefficient of the Conway polynomial, $p_{0,2}(G)$ (see [PV]).

## 6. Gauss diagram formulas for HOMFLYPT coefficients

Our aim is to figure out contributions of various arrow subdiagrams to $p_{k, l}$, using the state model from Section 4.

Consider a state model on an arrow diagram $A$ with the following table of local weights $\langle\alpha| A|S\rangle$ :

| First passage: | $\downarrow$ | - | $\downarrow$ - $-\cdots$ | :----1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\downarrow \stackrel{1}{*}$ | $e^{-h} z$ | 0 | $e^{-2 h}-1$ | 0 |
| $\downarrow-\uparrow$ | $-e^{h} z$ | 0 | $e^{2 h}-1$ | 0 |

Let $\langle A \mid S\rangle=\prod_{\alpha \in A}\langle\alpha| A|S\rangle$ and define a power series in $h$ and $z$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(A)=\sum_{S}\langle A \mid S\rangle\left(\frac{e^{h}-e^{-h}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $w_{k, l}$ the coefficient of $h^{k} z^{l}$ in $W(A)$, so that $W(A)=\sum_{k, l} w_{k, l}(A) h^{k} z^{l}$.
Definition 6.1. Now the linear combination $A_{k, l} \in \mathscr{A}$ can be defined as follows.

$$
A_{k, l}:=\sum w_{k, l}(A) \cdot A
$$

Theorem 6.2. Let $G$ be a Gauss diagram of an ordered link L. Then

$$
p_{k, l}(L)=\mathcal{I}_{A_{k, l}}(G)=\left\langle A_{k, l}, G\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. According to 4, the HOMFLYPT is equal to

$$
P(G)=\sum_{S \subset G}\langle G \mid S\rangle \cdot\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle G \mid S\rangle=\prod_{\alpha \in G}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle=\prod_{\alpha \in S}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle \\
& =\prod_{\alpha \in G \backslash S}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle= \\
& \quad\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle \sum_{A \supset S}\left(\prod_{\alpha \in A \backslash S}(\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle-1) \prod_{\alpha \in G \backslash A} 1\right)= \\
& \quad=\sum_{A \supset S}\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle \prod_{\alpha \in A \backslash S}(\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle-1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(G)=\sum_{S \subset G} \sum_{A \supset S}\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle \prod_{\alpha \in A \backslash S}(\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle-1)\right) \cdot\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}= \\
=\sum_{A \subset G} \sum_{S \subset A}\left(\prod_{\alpha \in S}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle \prod_{\alpha \in A \backslash S}(\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle-1)\right) \cdot\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Comparing tables (4) and (5) of local weights, we get

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in S}\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle \prod_{\alpha \in A \backslash S}(\langle\alpha| G|S\rangle-1)=\prod_{\alpha \in A}\langle\alpha| A|S\rangle=\langle A \mid S\rangle
$$

Thus

$$
P(G)=\sum_{A \subset G} \sum_{S \subset A}\langle A \mid S\rangle \cdot\left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}
$$

And the theorem follows.
6.1. Contributions of various diagrams to $A_{k, l}$. A state $S$ of an arrow diagram $A$ is called ascending, if in the tracing of $A(S)$ we approach a neighborhood of every arrow (not only the ones in $S$ ) first at the arrow head. As easy to see from the weight table, only ascending states contribute to $W(A)$. In particular, the first end point of an arrow in $A$ (as we move from the base point along the orientation) must be an arrow head.

Note that since $e^{ \pm 2 h}-1= \pm 2 h+$ (higher degree terms) and $\pm e^{\mp h} z= \pm z+$ (higher degree terms), the power series $W(A)$ starts with terms of degree at least $|A|$, the number of arrows of $A$. Moreover, the $z$-power of $\langle A \mid S\rangle\left(\frac{e^{h}-e^{-h}}{z}\right)^{c(S)-1}$ is equal to $|S|-c(S)+1$. Therefore, for fixed $k$ and $l$, the weight $w_{k, l}(A)$ of an arrow diagram may be non-zero only if $A$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $|A|$ is at most $k+l$;
(ii) there is an ascending state $S$ such that $c(S)=|S|+1-l$.

For diagrams of the highest degree $|A|=k+l$, the contribution of an ascending state $S$ to $w_{k, l}(A)$ is equal to $(-1)^{|A|-|S|} 2^{k} \varepsilon(A)$, where $\varepsilon(A)$ is the product of signs of all arrows in $A$. If two such arrow diagrams $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ with $|A|=k+l$ differ only by signs of arrows, their contributions to $A_{k, l}$ differ by the $\operatorname{sign} \varepsilon(A) \varepsilon\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. Thus all such diagrams may be combined to the unsigned diagram $A$, appearing in $A_{k, l}$ with the coefficient $\sum_{S}(-1)^{|A|-|S|} 2^{k}$ (where the summation is over all ascending states of $A$ with $c(S)=|S|+1-l)$.

Arrow diagrams with isolated arrows do not contribute to $A_{k, l}$. Indeed, consider an arrow diagram $A \cup a$ with an isolated arrow $a$. Every state $S$ of $A$ corresponds to two states of $A \cup a$ : $S$ and $S \cup a$. Depending on the orientation of $\alpha$ their weights will be either both 0 , or $\left(e^{-2 \varepsilon h}-1\right)\langle A \mid S\rangle$ and $\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon h} z \frac{e^{h}-e^{-h}}{z}\langle A \mid S\rangle$. In both cases they sum up to 0 , since $\left(e^{-2 \varepsilon h}-1\right)+\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon h}\left(e^{h}-e^{-h}\right)=\stackrel{z}{0}$.
6.2. Coefficients of the Conway polynomial. The Conway polynomial is obtained from the HOMFLYPT polynomial by setting $h=0$. So our formulas for $A_{0, l}$ are the Gauss diagram formulas for coefficients of the Conway polynomial, discovered earlier by Michael Khoury and Alfred Rossi [CKR]. Indeed, only states with $|S|=|A|$ and $c(S)=1$ contribute to $w_{0, l}(A)$. Since these are diagrams of the highest degree, according to 6.1 they may be combined into unsigned ascending diagrams which appear with coefficients 1.

For example, in the case $m=1$ of long knots, states with $c(S)=1$ exist only for even number $l$ of arrows. For $l=2$ and $l=4$ the resulting linear combinations $A_{0, l}$ are


## 7. Low degree examples

Let us describe the corresponding formulas for degree 2 and 3 invariants of knots, i.e. $k+l=2,3, m=1$. The case $A_{0,2}$ was described above. A direct check shows that $A_{2,0}=0$. Let us explicitly find the formula for $A_{1,2}$. The maximal number of arrows is equal to 3 . To get $z^{2}$ in $W(A)$ we need ascending states with either $|S|=2$ and $c(S)=1$, or $|S|=3$ and $c(S)=2$. In the first case the equation $c(S)=1$ means that the two arrows of $S$ must intersect. In the second case the equation $c(S)=2$ does not add any restrictions on the relative position of arrows. In cases $|S|=|A|=2$ or $|S|=|A|=3$, since $S$ is ascending, $A$ itself must be ascending as well.

For diagrams of the highest degree $|A|=1+2=3$, we should count ascending states of unsigned arrow diagrams with the coefficient $(-1)^{3-|S|} 2$, i.e. -2 for $|S|=2$ and +2 for $|S|=3$. There are only four types of (unsigned) 3-arrow diagrams with no isolated arrows:


Diagrams of the same type differ by directions of arrows.
For the first type, recall that the first arrow should be oriented towards the base point; this leaves 4 possibilities for directions of the remaining two arrows.

One of them, namely
 does not have ascending states with $|S|=2,3$. The remaining possibilities, together with their ascending states, are shown in the table:


The final contribution of this type of 3 -arrow diagrams to $A_{1,2}$ is equal to


The remaining three types of 3-arrow diagrams differ by the location of the base point. A similar consideration shows that 5 out of the total of 12 arrow diagrams of these types, namely

do not have ascending states with $|S|=2,3$. The remaining possibilities, together with their ascending states, are shown in the table:


The final contribution of this type of 3 -arrow diagrams to $A_{1,2}$ is equal to


Besides 3-arrow diagrams, some 2-arrow diagrams contribute to $A_{1,2}$ as well. Since $|A|=2<k+l=3$, contributions of 2-arrow diagrams depend also on their signs. Such diagrams must be ascending (since $|S|=|A|=2$ ) and should not have isolated arrows. There are four such diagrams, looking like
 , but with different signs $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ of arrows. For each of them $\langle A \mid S\rangle=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} e^{-\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) h} z^{2}$. If $\varepsilon_{1}=-\varepsilon_{2}$, then $\langle A \mid S\rangle=-z^{2}$, so the coefficient of $h z^{2}$ vanishes and such diagrams do not occur in $A_{1,2}$. For two remaining diagrams with $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}= \pm 1$, coefficients of $h z^{2}$ in $\langle A \mid S\rangle$ are equal to $\mp 2$ respectively.

Combining all the above contributions, we finally get


The invariant $\mathcal{I}_{A_{1,2}}=\left\langle A_{1,2}, \cdot\right\rangle$ can be simplified further. Note that for any classical Gauss diagram $G,\langle\langle \rangle, G\rangle=\langle\overbrace{*}\rangle, G\rangle$. This follows from the symmetry of the linking number. Indeed, supposed we have matched two vertical arrows (which are the same in both diagrams) with two arrows of $G$. Let us consider the orientation preserving smoothings of the corresponding two crossings of the link diagram $D$ associated with $G$. The smoothened diagram $\widetilde{D}$ will have three components. Matchings of the horizontal arrow of our arrow diagrams with an arrow of $G$ both measure the linking number between the first and the third components of $\widetilde{D}$, using crossings when the first component overpasses (underpasses, respectively) the third one. Thus, as functions on classical Gauss diagrams,
 is equal to

we have


In a similar way one may check that $A_{3,0}=-4 A_{1,2}$.
Example 7.1. Let us compute the coefficients of $h z^{2}$ and $h^{3}$ of the HOMFLYPT polynomial on the trefoil from Section 3, see page 4.

$$
\left\langle A_{1,2}, G\right\rangle=2\left\langle\quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle A_{3,0}, G\right\rangle=-8\right.
$$

It is easy to verify these coefficients in the Taylor expansion of $P\left(3_{1}\right)=\left(2 e^{2 h}-\right.$ $\left.e^{4 h}\right)+e^{2 h} z^{2}$.
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